#### **REFERENCES**

Agencia Canadiense Para el Desarrollo. (2003). Los Servicios de Agua y Saneamiento en el Perú: Un Diagnostico y Estadísticas. Lima, Perú: CIDA & Banco Mundial.

Arce, M. (2005). *Market Reform in Society: Post-Crisis Politics and Economic Change in Authoritarian Peru*. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press.

Argyris, C. & Schön, D. (1974). *Theory in Practice: Increasing Professional Effectiveness*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Argyris, C. & Schön, D. (1978). *Organizational Learning: A Theory of Action Perspective*. Reading, MA: Adison Wesley.

Arnstein, S. (1969). A Ladder of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners* 35, 216-24.

Bandura, A. (1977). Social Learning Theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Baran, P.A. (1957). The Political Economy of Growth. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Beltrán, L.R. (1976). Alien Premises, Objects, and Methods in Latin American Communication Research. In E. M. Rogers (Ed.) *Communication and Development: Critical Perspectives*. Beverly Hills: Sage, 15-42.

Bessette, G. (2004). *Involving the Community: A Guide to Participatory Development Communication*. Penang, Malaysia: Southbound.

Bodero, M.E. (2003). Los Servicios de Saneamiento del Perú: Panorama del Sector Agosto 2003. Lima, Perú: SUM Canadá.

Bolman, L. & Deal, T. (2003). *Reframing Organizations: Artistry, Choice, and Leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Bosch, C., Hommann, K., Sadoff, C. & Travers, L., (2000). *Water, Sanitation and Poverty*. PRSP Source Book, World Bank.

Buchanan, D.R., Reddy, S. & Hossian Z. (1994). Social marketing: A Critical Appraisal, *Health Promotion International* 9(1), 49-57.

Byrne, A., Gray-Felder, D. & Hunt, J. (Eds) (2005). *Communities Measure Change: A Reference Guide to Monitoring Communication for Social Change*. New Jersey: Communication for Social Change Consortium.

Calvelo Ríos, M. (2003). Comunicación Para el Cambio Social. Roma: FAO.

Castillo, O. & Ruiz, S. (2005). Los Servicios que Brinda el Municipio: ¿ Porqué Algunos son Sostenibles y Otros no? In *Agua*, No 19. Lima, Perú: Comité Sectorial de Agua y Saneamiento, 27-38.

Contu, A. & Willmott, H. (2003). Re-Embedding Situatedness: The Importance of Power Relations in Learning Theory. *Organization Science* 14(4), 283-296.

Cooke, B. & Kothari, U. (2001). *Participation: The New Tyranny?* London: Zed Books.

Coopey, J. & Burgoyne, J. (1999). Politics and Learning. In M. Easterby-Smith, L. Araujo & J. Burgoyne (Eds), *Organizational Learning: 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference*, Vol. 1. Lancaster: Lancaster University, 275-98.

Dag Hammarskjöld Foundation (1975). What Now: Another Development. Uppsala: DHF.

Davies, R., Dart, J. (2005). The 'Most Significant Change' (MSC) Technique: A Guide to its Use. Retrieved February 8, 2006 from www.mande.co.uk/docs/MSCGuide.pdf.

Deane, J. & Gray-Felder, D. (January 1999). *Communication for Social Change: A Position Paper and Conference Report*, Bellagio, Italy 1997 & Cape Town, South Africa 1998.

Díaz Bordenave, J.E. (1977). Communication and Rural Development. Paris: Unesco.

Dube, S.C. (1988). *Modernization and Development: The Search for Alternative Paradigms*. London: Zed Books.

Earl, S., Carden, F., Smutylo, T. (2001). *Outcome Mapping: Building Learning and Reflection into Development Programs*. Ottawa, Canada: IDRC.

Easterby-Smith, M. & Araujo, L., (1999). Organizational Learning: Current Debates and Opportunities. In M. Easterby-Smith, J. Burgoyne, L. Araujo (Eds). *Organizational Learning and the Learning Organization: Developments in Theory and Practice*. London: Sage Publications, 1-21.

Engel, P.H. (1997). *The Social Organization of Innovation: A Focus on Stakeholder Interaction*. Amsterdam: Koninklijk Instituut Voor De Tropen (KIT).

Engel, P. H. & Salomon, M. (1994). RAAKS a Participatory Action Research Approach to Facilitating Social Learning for Sustainable Development. In *Systems-Oriented Research in Agriculture and Rural Development*, Papers of AFSRE International Symposium, Montpellier, France.

Engel, P. H. & Salomon, M. (1997). Facilitating Innovation for Development: A RAAKS Resource Box. Amsterdam: Koninklijk Instituut Voor De Tropen (KIT).

Entidad Prestadora de Servicios de Saneamiento- EPS EMAPA <name of city> (2003). Manual de Organización y Funciones. Resolución de Gerencia General No 034 2003. Unpublished document.

Escobar, A. (1995). *Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the Third World.* New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

ESRI Data and Maps 2003 *Countries 2002* [http://tdr.uoguelph.ca/LIBGIS/ESRI\_2003/WORLD/CNTRY02.EXE] University of Guelph, April 24, 2006 using Arcview 9 [GIS software]. Guelph, Ontario.

FAO (2002). "Regional Workshop for Designing and Implementing Multimedia Communication Strategies and National Communication Policies". Retrieved December 10, 2005 from http://www.fao.org/sd/2003/KN0403\_en.htm.

Farmer Initiatives for Ecological Livelihoods and Democracy (2001). Picturing Impact: Participatory Evaluation of Community IPM in Three West Java Villages. Indonesia: FIELD Foundation.

Frank, A.G. (1966). The Development of Underdevelopment. *Monthly Review Press* 18(7), 17-31.

Fraser, C & Restrepo-Estrada, S. (1998). *Communicating for Development: Human Change for Survival.* New York, NY: I.B. Tauris & Co Ltd.

Fraser, C. & Villet, J. (1994). Communication: A Key to Human Development. Rome: FAO.

Fraser, S.W. & Greenhalgh, T. (2001). Coping with Complexity: Educating for Capability. *British Medical Journal* 323, 799-803.

Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. New York: Seabury.

Fukuda-Parr, S., Lopes, C. & Malik, K. (2002). Institutional Innovations for Capacity Development. In *Capacity for Development: New Solutions to Old Problems* London: Earthscan Publications, 1-21.

Giddens, A. (1976). New Rules of Sociological Method: A Positive Critique of Interpretatives Sociologies. Huchinson: London.

Gubbels, P. & Koss, C. (2000). From the Roots Up: Strengthening Organizational Capacity Through Guided Self-Assessment. Oklahoma City, OK: World Neighbors.

Gumucio Dagrón, A. (2001a). *Making Waves: Stories of Participatory Communication for Social Change*. New York, NY: Rockefeller Foundation.

Gumucio Dagrón, A. (2001b). Myths and Paradigms of Participatory Communication. Paper presented at ICA Pre-Conference on Alternative Media "Our Media, Not Theirs." Washington. Retrieved January 20, 2006 from www.ourmedianet.org/papers/om2001/Gumucio.om2001.pdf.

Gumucio Dagrón, A. (2003). Media, Freedom, and Poverty: a Latin American Perspective. Paper presented at Media, Freedom and Poverty in Developing Countries Conference, Bellagio, Italy.

Haq, M. (1976). *The Poverty Curtain: Choices for the Third World*. New York: Colombia Press.

Hite, J. (1999). Learning in Chaos: Improving Human Performance in Today's Fast-Changing, Volatile Organizations. Houston, TX: Gulf Publishing.

Hornik, R.C. (1988). *Development Communication : Information, Agriculture, and Nutrition in the Third World.* New York: Longman.

Horton, D. (2002). *Planning, Implementing, and Evaluating Capacity Development*. ISNAR Briefing Paper 50.

Horton, D., Alexaki, A., Bennett-Lartey, S., Noële Brice, K., Campilan, D., Carden, F., de Souza Silva, J., Thanh Duong, L., Khadar, I., Maestrey Boza, A., Kayes Muniruzzaman, I., Perez, J., Somarriba Chang, M., Vernooy, R., and Watts. J. (2003). The Basics of Capacity, Organizational Capacity Development, and Evaluation. In *Evaluating Capacity Development: Experiences from Research and Development Organizations Around the World*. The Hague: ISNAR, Ottawa: IDRC, Wageningen: CTA, 19-36.

Huesca, R. (2003). Participatory Approaches to Communication for Development. In B. Mody (Ed.), *International and Development Communication : A 21st-century Perspective* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 499-517.

Huntington, S.P. (1971). The Change to Change: Modernization, Development and Politics. In *Comparative Politics* 3, 283-322.

Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática (1993). Censo Nacional: Datos Generales. Lima, Perú: INEI. Retrieved February 27, 2006 from http://www.inei.gob.pe.

Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática (2004). *Registro Nacional de Municipalidades: Estadísticas de la Gestión Municipal 2001-2002*. Lima, Perú: INEI.

IRC International Water and Sanitation Centre (1988). *Community Participation and Women's Involvement in Water Supply and Sanitation Projects : A Compendium Paper*. Occasional Paper Series no. 12. The Hague, The Netherlands: IRC.

Jackson, E.T. (1997). Participatory Impact Assessment for Poverty Alleviation: Opportunities for Communities and Development Agencies. In *Knowledge and Policy: The International Journal of Knowledge Transfer and Utilization*. 10 (1/2), 6-23.

Jarvis, P., Holford, J. & Griffin, C. (1998). *The Theory and Practice of Learning*. London: Kogan Page.

Jarvis, P. (1999). *The Practitioner-Researcher: Developing Theory From Practice*. San Francisco, Jossey-Bass.

Jiggins, J. & Röling, N. (1997). Action Research in Natural Resource Management: Marginal in the First Paradigm, Core in the Second. *Etudes et Recherches sur les Systemes Agraires et le Developpement* 30, 151-167.

Jones, M.L. (2001). Sustainable Organizational Capacity Building: Is Organizational Learning Key? *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 12(1), 91-98.

Keyton, J. (2005). *Communication and Organizational Culture*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Kim, D.H., (1993). The Link Between Individual and Organizational Learning. *Sloan Management Review*, 37-50.

Korten, D. (1980). Community Organization and Rural Development: A Learning Process Approach. *Public Administration Review*. September/October, 480-511.

Kotler, P. & Roberto, E. (1989). *Social marketing: Strategies for changing public behavior*. New York: Free Press.

Lave, J. & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press.

Leeuwis, C. (2004). *Communication for Rural Innovation: Rethinking Agricultural Extension*. (3rd ed.) Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.

Long, N & van der Ploeg, J.D. (1989). Demythologizing Planned Interventions: An Actor Perspective. In *Sociologia Ruralis*, 354-358.

Manzetti, L (1999). Privatization South American Style. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

McKee, N. (1992). Social Mobilization & Social Marketing in Developing Communities: Lessons for Communicators. Penang: Southbound Press.

Melkote, S. (2003). Theories of Development Communication. In B. Mody (Ed.), *International and Development Communication : A 21st-century Perspective*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 129-146.

Merriam, S. & Caffarella, R. (1991). *Learning in Adulthood. A Comprehensive Guide*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Morgan, G (1997). Images of Organizations (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Morgan, P. (2005). *The Idea and Practice of Systems Thinking and Their Relevance for Capacity Development*. Maastricht: European Centre for Development Policy Management.

Morgan, P., Land, T. & Baser, H. (2005). *Study on Capacity, Change and Performance: Interim Report*. Maastricht: European Centre for Development Policy Management.

Morris, N. (2003). A Comparative Analysis of the Diffusion and Participatory Models in Development Communication. In *Communication Theory* 13(2), 225-248.

O'Dell, C., Grayson, C.J. & Essaides, N., (1998). *If Only we Knew what we Know: The Transfer of Internal Knowledge and Best Practice*. New York, NY: The Free Press.

Palys, T. (2003). Research Decisions: Quantitative and Qualitative Perspectives. (3rd ed) Ontario, Canada: Nelson.

Parks, W., Grey-Felder, D., Hunt J., Byrne, A. (2005). Who Measures Change? An Introduction to Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation of Communication for Social Change. New Jersey: Communication for Social Change Consortium.

Peters, J.D. (1999). *Speaking into the Air: A History of the Idea of Communication*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Prange, C. (1999). Organizational Learning- Desperately Seeking Theory? In M. Easterby-Smith, J. Burgoyne & L. Araujo (Eds). *Organizational Learning and the Learning Organization: Developments in Theory and Practice*. London: Sage Publications, 59-74.

Pretty, J.N. (1994). Alternative Systems of Inquiry for a Sustainable Agriculture. *IDS Bulletin*, 24(2), 37-48.

Ramírez, R. & Quarry, W. (2004). Communication Strategies in the Age of Decentralization and Privatization of Rural Services: Lessons from Two African Experiences. *Agricultural Research and Extension Network Paper* No. 136. London: Overseas Development Institute.

Riaño, P. (1994). *Women in Grassroots Communication: Furthering Social Change*. Communication and Human Values Series. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Rogers, E.M. (1969). *Modernization Among Peasants: The Impact of Communication*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

Rogers, E.M. (1976). The Passing of the Dominant Paradigm- Reflections on Diffusion Research. In W. Schramm & D. Learner (eds) *Communication and Change: The Last Ten Years- and the Next*. Honolulu: University Press of Hawaii, 49-52.

Rogers, E.M. (1995). *Diffusion of Innovation*. Fourth Edition, New York, NY: The Free Press.

Rogers, E.M. & Hart, W.B. (2001). The Histories of Intercultural, International, and Development Communication. In W.B. Gudykunst & B. Mody (Eds), *Handbook of International and Intercultural Communication*. (2nd ed.) London: Sage Publications, 1-18.

Röling, N. (1988). *Extension Science: Information Systems in Agricultural Development*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Röling, N. (1994). Communication Support for Sustainable Natural Resource Management. *IDS Bulletin* 25(4), 125-132.

Rosengren, K.E. (2000). Communication: An Introduction. London: Sage.

Rostow, W. (1960). *The Stages of Economic Growth: A Non-communist Manifesto*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Schamis, H. (1999). Distributional Coalitions and the Politics of Economic Reform in Latin America. In *World Politics* 51(2) (January), 236-86.

Schouten, T. & Moriarty, P. (2003). *Community Water, Community Management: From System to Service in Rural Areas*. London: ITDG Publishing.

Schulz, M. (2001). The Uncertain Relevance of Newness: Organizational Learning and Knowledge Flows. In *Academy of Management Journal* 44(4), 661-681.

Senge, P. (1990). *The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization*. New York: Doubleday.

Servaes, J., Jacobson, T.L., & White S.A. (eds) (1996). *Participatory Communication for Social Change*. London: Sage.

Servaes, J. (1999). *Communication for Development One World, Multiple Cultures*. New Jersey: Hampton Press Cresskill.

Servicio Universitario Mundial del Canadá (2001). *Diagnostico de la Infraestructura de los Servicios de Agua Potable y Alcantarillado Sanitario*, Volumen III, Resumen Ejecutivo. Lima: SUM Canadá. Unpublished document.

Servicio Universitario Mundial del Canadá (2003). *Programa Educación al Usuario: Memoria de Actividades*. Lima: SUM Canadá. Unpublished document.

Servicio Universitario Mundial del Canadá (2004a). Situación de la Administración de los Servicios de Agua y Desagüe: Programa de Educación al Usuario en la Zona Urbana. Lima: SUM Canadá. Unpublished document.

Servicio Universitario Mundial del Canadá (2004b). Situación Técnica de los Servicios de Agua Potable y Alcantarillado: Programa de Educación al Usuario en la Zona Urbana. Lima: SUM Canadá. Unpublished document.

Servicio Universitario Mundial del Canadá (2004c). Visión General del Sector Saneamiento en el Perú: Programa de Educación al Usuario en la Zona Urbana. Lima: SUM Canadá. Unpublished document.

Servicio Universitario Mundial del Canadá (2005a). La Cooperación y las EPS: Experiencia de Sectorización y Mejoramiento del Servicio de Agua Potable. In *Agua*, No 19. Lima, Perú: Comité Sectorial de Agua y Saneamiento.

Servicio Universitario Mundial del Canadá (2005b). *Mejorando los Servicios Rurales de Agua Potable y saneamiento: Sistematización de una Experiencia*. Lima: SUM Canadá / ACDI. Unpublished document.

Shirley, M. M. (2000). Reforming Urban Water Systems: A Tale of Four Cities. In L. Manzetti (Ed.), *Regulatory Policy in Latin America: Post-Privatization Realities*. Miami, FL: North-South Center Press, 147-170.

Sivertsen, A., & Lundberg, A. (1996). Farming Practices and Environmental Problems in an Arid Landscape- a Case Study from the Region of Lambayeque, Peru. *Geografiska Annaler* 78B(3), 147-161.

Snyder. L.B. (2001). Development Communication Campaigns. In W.B. Gudykunst & B. Mody (Eds), *Handbook of International and Intercultural Communication*. (2nd ed.) London: Sage Publications, 457-478.

Spybey, T. (1992). Social Change, Development and Dependency: Modernity, Colonialism, and the Development of the West. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Stohl, C. (1995). *Organizational Communication: Connectedness in Action*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Sun, H. (2003). Conceptual Clarifications for "Organizational Learning", "Learning Organization" and "A Learning Organization". *Human Resource Development International* 6(2), 153-166.

Taylor, J. R., Van Every, E. J. (2000). *The Emergent Organization: Communication As Its Site And Surface*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Trist, E. (1981). The Evolution of Socio-Technical Systems: A Conceptual Framework and an Action Research Approach. *Issues in the Quality of Working Life* Occasional Paper no. 2. Toronto: Ontario Ministry of Labour.

United Nations (2005). *Human Development Report*. New York.

United Nations Development Program (2004). Hacia el Cumplimiento de los Objetivos de Desarrollo del Milenio en el Perú: Un Compromiso del País para Acabar con la Pobreza, la Desigualdad y la Exclusión: Objetivo De Desarrollo Del Milenio 7- Garantizar La Sostenibilidad Del Medio Ambiente. Lima: ONU.

van Wijk-Sijbesma, C. (2001). The Best of Two Worlds? Methodology for Participatory Assessment of Community Water Services. IRC Technical Paper Series 38. Delft, the Netherlands: IRC International Water and Sanitation Centre.

Vice Ministerio de Construcción y Saneamiento (2003). Estrategia y Desafíos del Sector Saneamiento (Ámbito Urbano). Paper presented to SEDAPAL, Lima, Perú. May 27, 2003.

Waisbord, S. (2001). Family Tree of Theories, Methodologies, and Strategies in Development Communication. New York: Rockefeller Foundation.

Waisbord, S. (2005). Five Key Ideas: Coincidences and Challenges in Development Communication. In O. Hemer & T. Tufte (eds) *Media & Glocal Change: Rethinking Communication for Development*. Buenos Aires: CLASCO, 77-90.

Walsh, D. C., Rudd, R. E., Moeykens, B. A., & Moloney, T. W. (1993). Social Marketing for Public Health. *Health Affairs* 12(2), 104-119.

Wang C.C. (1999). Photovoice: a Participatory Action Research Strategy Applied to Women's Health. *Journal of Women's Health* 8(2), 185-92.

Weber, M. (1958). Bureaucracy. In H. H. Gerth and C. Wright Mills (eds). *From Max Weber: Essays in Sociology*. New York: Oxford, 196-244.

Weick, K.E. (2001). Making Sense of the Organization. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.

White, S.A., Sadnandan Nair, K., Ascroft J. (1994). *Participatory Communication: Working for Change and Development*. New Delhi: Sage.

Wilkins, K.G. (ed) (2000). *Redeveloping Communication for Social Change: Theory, Practice and Power*. Boulder: Rowman & Littlefield.

Wilson, D., Gill, S., Beaton, L., & Roth, C. (2003). *Promoting Institutional & Organizational Development*. London: DIFD Department for International Development.

World University Service of Canada (2004). Strengthening of Municipal Capacity in Water and Sanitation Services in Peru, Annual Report. Unpublished document, WUSC.

World University Service of Canada (2005). Strengthening of Municipal Capacity in Water and Sanitation Services in Peru, Annual Workplan, January – December. Unpublished document, WUSC.

Yin, R.K. (2003). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Third Ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

APPENDIX 1: Results of Workshop with End Users in the First Study Location

Appendix 1: Sources of Information- End Users in One Neighborhood Group

Information source	Which one	When	What I like about it	What I do not like about it	Trust-worthiness of information (scale of 1-5)
TV	America ATV Red Global Panamericana TV Cable	9-11 pm daily 1-9 Saturday 9-10 Sunday 1 soap operas	Cultural information News Soap Operas Reports	Violence Terror	4- News 3-Reports
Radio	RPP Inovidable Radio Mar Radio Huara Radio Paraiso Radio Universal	All day All day Mid-day Morning Mid-day Mid-day	Music	Incomplete information They request bribes from authorities	3- News
Newspaper	La Razon El Correo El Popular El Comercio Opinion Asi Ecos Caras y Caretas	Daily Monthly	National and international news Recipes Coupons	Incomplete information Sensationalist news	3-4 News
Inter-personal	Home Work Friends Neighbors	Everyday continuously	Quick Direct contact	Unreliable information	3-4 Depending on who it is
Brochures	Companies Institutions Schools	Monthly Periodically	Constructive information No cost	Infrequent	3-4

Note: 1= not trustworthy, 5= very trustworthy

# APPENDIX 2: Results of Workshops with Teachers in the First Study Location

Appendix 2a: Teachers Use of WUSC's Educational Materials in Schools

Category	Response
Level Teaching	1. Secondary teacher
	2. Primary teacher
	3. Primary teacher
	4. Director of school
Where is	1. In folder in briefcase
information stored?	2. Classroom library
	3. Folders, library, on wall in classroom
	4. Educational folder in office
How many times	1. Four
have you looked at	2. Two
it?	3. Three
	4. When we needed to
Who have you	Family, friends, students other teachers, verbally, photocopies
shared it with, what	Students, parents through a traveling backpack
format?	3. Parents, family, friends, verbally
	4. All of the teachers in the school, at the monthly meeting with parents
How do you know if the students	Asking students questions, educational material was placed around sinks and behavior was observed
learned from it?	2. Anecdotes from students, observation of behavior
	3. Observation of students' behavior
	4. Observation of students' behavior
What impact do you	1. Two
think it has had on	2. Five, I use a public ☺ and ☺ chart to give kids feedback
students' behavior	3. Three, lots of impact with kids, less with family
(scale of 1-5)?	4. Four

Appendix 2b: Teachers Feedback on Social Team's Presentation

Theme	Responses
I liked	<ol> <li>The materials employed, the data, concrete information</li> <li>The presentation, the use of materials, the way each person presented on a different theme</li> <li>The format of the exposition, the themes covered, the woman who introduced each speaker</li> <li>Learning how to use and conserve water, knowing that the water we have is "hard"</li> <li>The campaign to educate the public about how not to waste water, the whole talk was clear and the presenters explained the problems of water scarcity in a simple way</li> </ol>
I learned	<ol> <li>About the reservoirs and tubes</li> <li>The importance of water, the crisis that we will have in a few years because of the lack of water, that saving water also means saving money</li> <li>How to change my habits, that water leaks in the home cause higher water bills, the importance of water meters to help us stop wasting water</li> <li>The UN says every person has the right to 50 liters of water per day, that Peru is among the top 20 countries with aquifer reserves</li> <li>We should not leave the taps on when we are brushing our teeth, shaving, washing our hands, etc, that water is a scarce resource and it should be used wisely</li> </ol>
I would change	<ol> <li>1. –</li> <li>2. Use more images in the power point slides</li> <li>3. More depth in the part about the chemistry, the topic on global availability of water was skipped</li> <li>4. –</li> <li>5. –</li> </ol>
I did not understand	If there is a reservoir in my neighborhood, why do not we have water?     . –     3. –     4. The desalinization process, how to turn salt water into potable water     5. –

# APPENDIX 3: Results of Workshop on Decision Making Processes in First Study Location

Appendix 3a: Decision Making Processes- Water Company Managers- Group F

Decision	Who made it and how it was made
Electrification of the well pump	A- The public and the authorities were informed of the decision
	The public is not interested in reducing production costs.
Installation of household water	A- Decision initially made by Commercial manager
meters	The users did not agree with the decision
	D- WUSC, managers & population
	Because of the results of the first experience
Continuing to work with WUSC	D- The company and representatives of WUSC
	Because we share the same interests
Installing a condenser bank	A- Operational manager & Head of Planning
	Because it did not affect anyone else
Improved collection of money	A- Commercialization manager
from water users	To increase the liquidity of the company

Appendix 3b: Decision Making Processes- Water Company Managers- Group G

Decision	Who made it and how it was made
Switching to SICI software	C- Board of directors made the decision based on information given by
system	the managers and team leaders
Renewal of contact to work with WUSC	C- Board of directors and managers made decision. Team leaders gave information
Installation of water meters in 35% of city	A- Board of directors made the decision. Managers and team leaders were consulted.
Carrying out large infrastructure projects	C- Board of directors and managers made the decision. Team leaders provided information.
Treatment of waste water	A- Board of directors
	The decision has been made, the project is in progress.

# APPENDIX 4: Results of Workshop on Perceived Changes in the First Study Location

Appendix 4a: Perceived Changes- Water Company Managers, WUSC Team Members and Counterparts- Group K

Changes	Description
In individuals	More integration between of the water company and the WUSC teams (change
	happened because there was economic support to do so)
In teams or work	Our work together is better planned and coordinated (change happened because
areas	there was economic support to do so, and the situation had changed)
In the company in	Improved public image, increased investments in improvements (change happened
general	because there was economic support to do so)
In procedures	Talking with the population before beginning work in an area, distribution of
	educational brochures
In attitudes	Users now identify more with their water company
In relationships	More interaction with the schools, neighborhood groups, and users in general
In activities	Educational talks in schools, contact with users, use of educational materials, dividing
	city into sectors (change happened because what we did before did not work, and to improve our relationships)
In strategies	Home plumbing repairs, talks with schools and neighborhood groups, radio and TV publicity, contests and parade for InterAmerican Water Day (change happened because we had new information that we did not have before, and we reflected on our past experiences)
In objectives and goals	Improving quality of service provided, 85% approval rating by population, increase in number of hours of service offered, decrease in number of customer complaints (change happened because it was beneficial for the company to change)

Appendix 4b: Reflections on Most Important Change- Water Company Managers, WUSC Team Members and Counterparts- Group K

Reflections on	Components
Most important change: Improving the quality of service offered	Dividing the city into sectors, changing the pump system to increase water production, inventory of users and water connections, installation of water meters, equalizing water pressure in the system, offering home pluming repairs, monitoring progress
Lessons	Difficult problems can be resolved through team work, problems can be solved by investing money wisely, well-trained people achieve good results, coordinating work with the public helps work progress well in the field, solving problems requires technical, financial and social resources, every city has different experiences with water and sanitation
For the future	Keep working on the lessons learned from working with WUSC, monitor the programs that have been developed

Appendix 4c: Perceived Changes- Water Company Managers, WUSC Team Members and Counterparts- Group L

Changes	Description
Overhaul of system in	Changes were made to benefit the company, to improve the company, the
nearby satellite town and	situation had changed, and there was economic support to do so.
first sector of city	
Client satisfaction	Changes were made to benefit the company, to improve the company, to imitate others with good systems, and to improve relationships with the users
More importance placed on	Changes were made because of the presence of WUSC, to improve the
strategies to save water	company, and based on past experience
Educating public about the	Changes were made because of the presence of WUSC, to improve the
importance of water	company, and based on past experience

Appendix 4d: Reflections on Most Important Change- Water Company Managers, WUSC Team Members and Counterparts- Group L

Reflections on	Components
Most important change	Overhaul of system in nearby satellite town and first sector of city
Lessons for the water company	We should focus on optimizing the operating of the system so that we save water and give other sectors better water pressure, hours of service and water quality
Lessons for WUSC	Support for the operation of systems should be given priority
Recommendations for the future	Give more support to user education activities, take preventative measures during the installation of new pump systems

Appendix 4e: Perceived Changes- Water Company Managers, WUSC Team Members and Counterparts- Group  ${\it M}$ 

Changes	Description
Operational changes in the potable water system	Projects and work plans are now based on sectors of the city, professional growth of team members, team work, improvement of the quality of service of one sector of the city (12,000 people) and 100% of one satellite town (Changes were made to benefit the company, to improve the company, because of the presence of WUSC, changes in the situation, and there was economic support to do so)
Improvements in the public image of the water company	Communication from the company to the users about the services that are offered, implementation of programs to reduce non-payment (Changes were made to benefit the company, to improve the company, changes in the situation, and there was economic support to do so)
Personal changes in the freedom to made decisions and confidence	Changes made to improve working relationships, reflecting on past experience, and to improve the company

Appendix 4f: Reflections on Most Important Change- Water Company Managers, WUSC Team Members and Counterparts- Group M

Reflections on	Components
Most important change:	Better service: more hours, increased water pressure, improved water
Improvements in the public	quality.
image of the water company	Relations with users: talks in schools, information given to users, home
	plumbing repairs, events and activities
Lessons for the water	Plan work in stages as part of a larger integrated vision, involve all of the
company and	necessary areas in each project, the projects that are being developed
recommendations for the	should include social education components, and evaluate project results.
future	

# APPENDIX 5: Results of Workshop with Directors of Urban Water Users Association in Second Study Location

Appendix 5: Time Line of the Creation of the Urban Water Users Association

Key Events	Key Challenges
Identifying the water problems and educating	Lack of interest and low attendance at public meetings,
neighborhood groups	some neighborhoods did not participate at all
Open dialogue between WUSC, users, municipality	Gossip: people were afraid the rates would go up too
	much, and the service would be privatized
Neighborhood leaders went to visit water systems in	The bylaws were opposed by some people who use an
other cities	excessive amount of water
Election of Urban Water Association Directors	Lack of resources to complete legal registration process
Consultation, writing and public approval of bylaws	People have not paid what they pledged at the
	fundraising event
Legal registration of association, recognition by	Lack of resources to set up office
SUNAT	
Support of WUSC in training the directors	The municipality has yet to transfer authority to the
	association
Public fundraising activities, donations	Association directors have not followed up on letters to
	institutions that were sent asking for their support
One school in town now has water 24 hours a day	There has been no improvement in the water service yet
Public competition to create the association's logo	Little commitment on the part of the directors to attend
	association meetings and arrive on-time
Infrastructure improvement projects in 3	
neighborhoods with municipal and user participation	
Regular cleaning of the potable water storage tanks	

#### APPENDIX 6: Research Introduction and Information Sheet (English Version)

Case Study of Communication for Social Change: WUSC's Capacity Strengthening Project in Water and Sanitation

Between June and September 2005, WUSC will be participating in a study called "Case Study of Communication for Social Change: WUSC's Capacity Strengthening Project in Water and Sanitation." The research will be conducted in the spirit of learning from and improving the experience of participants in WUSC's programs.

The research will take place in two locations in Peru in order to document and analyze WUSC's training programs as a case study of Communication for Social Change (CFSC). CFSC looks at the use of communication methods and media for capacity building, policy dissemination, and adult education. Specifically, this research will describe the communication and collaboration between WUSC and municipal governments, water companies, water user groups and end users that have been used to increase people's ability to plan, carry out, and manage water and sanitation services.

You may be asked to volunteer to participate in this study. If you choose to participate, you might be asked do the following things:

Logistics- provide feedback to the researcher on the design, methodology and logistics of this study.

*Interview*- individually speak with the researcher about your understanding of water and sanitation before, during and after participation in the project.

Focus groups- attend focus groups or workshops with other people to talk about:

- How have relationships related to water and sanitation been built?
- How have decisions been made?
- What has been the flow and content of information exchange between different individuals and groups?
- What have been the perceived effects of WUSC's project in general and their educational campaigns in particular?
- What changes in individual and organizational capacity do you believe have occurred?

There will be an opportunity to provide feedback to the researcher on the research process and to talk about what the researcher learned from the research. A complete copy of the final case study will be provided to WUSC.



#### **About the Researcher**

April Pojman is an MSc student at the University of Guelph in Canada, this research is part of her thesis work. Before beginning her studies, she spent over three years working with coffee farmers, cooperatives and roasters. She also taught environmental science to children for a year.

April has worked, studied and traveled in Bolivia, Ecuador, Nicaragua and Mexico and is looking forward to spending time in Peru and seeing the Andes again.

#### APPENDIX 7: Guiding Questions Explored in Semi-Structured Interviews

What is your organization's relationship with other water and sanitation stakeholders? How have those relationships been built?

What activities have been done with whom?

How was it decided what would be done? (both internal to organization and external)

How are responsibilities divided up? (selection of participants, budget, construction, operation maintenance, repairs, training, rules & regulations, fees)

Where do the resources for your work come from? Do funders help to define areas of focus?

How often do you communicate with each of the other groups? Who does it and in what form?

What type of information do you give to each of these groups? What do they do with this information?

What type of information do they give to you? What do you do with this information?

What have they learned from you? What have they done with this knowledge?

What have you learned from them? What have you done with this knowledge?

What changes have you seen since WUSC first started working there? (In general and changes in each actor group)

Are organizations or people working together differently? How?

Have you seen changes in organization's roles, tasks or leadership responsibilities? Like what?

Have there been any changes in the attitudes that people have?

Are there any changes in the way people manage problems or handle conflict? What systems are in place to resolve conflict?

Have there been any changes in the way each group sees or interacts with the others?

How are people within each group learning from the others?

Are people doing other new things without the support or guidance of WUSC?

How do you get feedback about the work that your organization is doing? Who do you get it from? What do you do with it? Do you give feedback to others?

What educational campaigns have taken place?

What has been the message of them, who was the target audience, how was the campaign distributed, what were people intended to do?

How do you think that people understood them?

Do you think that they have contributed to any changes? Why or why not?